Table 1. Survey Sample and Virginia Tech Graduate Student Population (on Campus) Comparisons

			VT Grad S	tudent
	Survey	Participants Participants	<u>Popula</u>	<u>tion</u> a
RACE/ETHNICITY <sup>b</sup>	N	%	N	%
Asian American/Pacific Islander	15	2.74	143	3.08
Black/African American	16	2.92	171	3.68
Latino(a)/Hispanic	9	1.64	123	2.65
American Indian/Alaska Native	2	0.36	5	0.11
White	296	54.01	2,360	50.77
Two or More	22	4.01	77	1.66
Not Reported	34	6.20	31	0.67
INTERNATIONAL <sup>c</sup>	154	28.10	1,738	37.39
Total <sup>d</sup>	548	100.00	4,648	100.00
GENDER <sup>e</sup>				
Men	285	52.80	2,763	59.46
Women	255	47.20	1,876	40.37
Women	233	47.20	1,070	40.57
COLLEGE				
College of Agriculture and Life				
Sciences	51	10.18	382	8.22
College of Natural Resources and				
Environment	32	6.39	177	3.81
Pamplin College of Business	21	4.19	233	5.01
College of Liberal Arts & Human				
Sciences	83	16.57	694	14.93
College of Engineering	182	37.80	1,864	40.11
Virginia-Maryland Regional College			ŕ	
of Veterinary Medicine	13	2.59	168	3.62
College of Architecture & Urban				
Studies	29	5.79	302	6.50
College of Science	55	10.98	593	12.76
Interdisciplinary Academic Programs	13	2.59	234	5.04
Choose not to report or missing	20	3.99	-	-
Total	501	100.00	4,647	100.00

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>: Based on Fall 2013 graduate students (excluding professional students) enrollment information. Data from Student Census Files, Institutional Research and Effectiveness, October 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>: It only refers to non-international students. 133 out of 154 international students identified their race/ethnicity in the survey. However, they are not included in each racial/ethnic category in

# this table.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>: Nonresident alien was used in Student Census to identify the same group of students.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>: Total number of students who responded to the question of whether they are international students in the survey.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>: Eight students did not report their gender in Student Census.

**Table 2. Other Important Demographic Factors** in **Graduate Climate Survey** 

in Gradate Chinate Sarvey		
	N	%
DEGREE PROGRAM		
Master's	210	38.89
Ph.D	326	60.37
Certificate	1	0.19
Non-degree	3	0.56
Total	540	100.00
RELATIONSHIP STATUS		
Single	230	42.67
Committed partnership	121	22.45
Married	162	30.06
Civil union	1	0.19
Separated or divorced	7	1.30
Widowed	2	0.37
Prefer not to answer	26	4.82
Total	549	100.00
AGE (in years)		
18 - 22	32	5.84
23 - 26	225	41.06
27 - 30	133	24.27
31 - 35	83	15.15
36 - 40	34	6.20
41 - 45	14	2.55
46 - 50	7	1.28
Over 50	20	3.65
Total	548	100.0

**Table 3. Recoded Demographic Factors in Graduate Climate Survey** 

Original		Reco	ded	
RACE/ETHNICITY <sup>a</sup> Asian/Pacific Islander Black/African American Latino(a)/Hispanic American Indian/Alaskan Native Mixed Race	<b>→</b>	Minority	N 64	% 17.78
White <b>Total</b>	<b>→</b>	White	296 <b>360</b>	82.22 <b>100.00</b>
AGE			Ν	%
18 - 22 23 - 26	<b>→</b>	18-26	257	46.90
27 - 30	<b>→</b>	27-30	133	24.27
31 - 35 36 - 40 41 - 45 46 - 50 Over 50 <b>Total</b>	<b>→</b>	31 and older	158 <b>548</b>	28.83 <b>100.00</b>
RELATIONSHIP STATUS			N	%
Single Separated or divorced Widowed	<b>→</b>	Uncoupled	235	45.81
Committed partnership	<b>→</b>	Committed P.	115	22.42
Married Civil union	<b>→</b>	Married	163	31.77
Total			513	100.00
DEGREE PROGRAM Ph.D	<b>→</b>	Ph.D	326	60.37
Master's Certificate Non-degree	<b>→</b>	Non-Ph.D	214	39.62
Total			540	100.00

# **Table 4. List of Factors**

#### **Campus Support**

There are sufficient resources (e.g., library services, technology) available through Virginia Tech to ensure my academic success.

There is adequate support for students having academic difficulties.

There is adequate support for students having social/emotional difficulties.

I know where to find social and personal support within the graduate community if I need it.

My support network includes friends and/or faculty members from Virginia Tech.

There are sufficient opportunities for socialization within the graduate community.

#### **Campus Resources**

I am usually aware of activities that are geared towards the graduate student population at Virginia Tech.

The presence of the Graduate Life Center (GLC) in Blacksburg has helped me feel more connected to the graduate community at Virginia Tech.

The GLC provides programs and services that are relevant to my particular needs and interests.

I am comfortable in the environment the GLC provides for graduate students.

GLC staff members are approachable and able to help me when I have questions.

# **Feeling of Safeness**

Walking alone on campus after dark

Waiting alone on campus for public transportation after dark

Walking alone in parking lots/parking garages on campus after dark

Working in the library/lab/GLC late at night

In the Blacksburg community and area surrounding campus during the day

In the Blacksburg community and the area surrounding campus at night On campus overall

#### **Authority Responses to Safety Issues**

Campus security/campus police are responsive to campus safety issues.

Campus administrators and staff take seriously the security policies on campus.

# **Faculty Advising**

My faculty/advisor respond(s) to my e-mail, calls, or voicemails in a prompt manner.

I am satisfied with the quality of advising I have received from my department.

Department faculty/staff members (other than my advisor) respond to my e-mails, calls, or voicemails in a prompt manner.

There are adequate opportunities for me to interact with other university faculty outside of my department.

I get support from my faculty/advisor to pursue personal research interests.

My department faculty encourages me to produce publications and present research.

My department has provided me opportunities to serve the department or university in various capacities outside of teaching or research.

My department faculty/advisor provides clear expectations.

I feel comfortable sharing my professional goals with my advisor.

# **Academic Department Climate**

Department facilities and resources available to me are sufficient to allow me to produce quality work.

I feel I am treated fairly in my department.

My department provides a supportive learning environment.

My department is free from discrimination.

My department encourages a collaborative environment among students.

My department encourages a collaborative environment with faculty.

I am as socially and professionally integrated into my department/program as I would like to be.

My department supports my personal commitments outside of graduate school (family, health, social, etc.).

# **Financial Support**

I feel Virginia Tech provides adequate information about financial support.

I am comfortable approaching my department about financial support.

I feel my department assists me in finding financial support.

I worry about my financial situation while in graduate school.

I am satisfied with the amount of financial support I receive.

#### **General Campus Diversity**

Virginia Tech is diverse.

Virginia Tech is supportive of people with physical disabilities.

Virginia Tech is supportive of students with non-physical disabilities (i.e., learning disabilities).

Virginia Tech is supportive of people who identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, or queer.

Virginia Tech is supportive of people who identify as transgender.

Virginia Tech is supportive of people with diverse ethnic backgrounds.

Virginia Tech is supportive of international students.

#### **Campus Diversity and Me**

I personally add to the diversity of my campus.

I take advantage of the opportunities provided by Virginia Tech to learn about diversity-related issues.

#### Discrimination

I feel I am treated fairly as a student on this campus.

I would feel comfortable reporting an act of discrimination or harassment that I had witnessed

I would feel comfortable reporting an act of discrimination or harassment that I had experienced.

**Table 5. Differences on Perceptions of Campus Support and Resource by Demographic Factors** 

			Campus Resources			
			(Including GLC			
	Campus Support <sup>a</sup>	Ν	Services) <sup>a</sup>	Ν	GLC <sup>a</sup>	N
GENDER	Campus Support	74	Sel vices)	7.0	GLC	/ V
Women	0.301	139	-0.194	139	-0.015	196
Men	0.644	148	0.058	148	0.061	211
	0.011	170	0.030	170	0.001	
RACE/ETHNICITY	0.260*	110	0.125	110	0.022	107
White	0.269*	118	-0.125	118	-0.032	197
Non-white	-0.144	43	0.091	43	-0.017	49
CITIZENSHIP						
Non-						
international	0.131	179	-0.136**	179	-0.100***	271
International	-0.104	111	0.277	111	0.262	143
AGE						
18-26 yrs	0.082*	138	0.082	138	0.130	179
27-30 yrs	-0.011	70	-0.011	70	0.026	108
31 yrs and old	der -0.051*	<i>82</i>	-0.051	<i>82</i>	-0.122	127
RELATIONSHIP STATUS						
Uncoupled	-0.036	133	0.151	133	0.103	181
Committed P	. 0.236	63	-0.043	63	0.032	86
Married	0.002	<i>79</i>	-0.043	<i>79</i>	-0.024	124
DEGREE PROGRAM						
Ph.D	-0.036	176	0.052	176	0.020	216
Non-Ph.D	0.181	111	0.030	111	0.031	146

a: standardized scores, values ranged from -1 to 1. \*\*\*p < 0.001, \*\*p < 0.01, \*p < 0.05

Table 6. Perceptions of Campus Support and Resources in Individual Questions by Age and by Citizenship

			31 yrs and		Non-
	18-26 yrs	27-30 yrs	older	International	International
CAMPUS SUPPORT					
There are sufficient resources (e.g.,					
library services, technology)					
available through Virginia Tech to	2.60	2.40	2.50	2.50	2.52
ensure my academic success. There is adequate support for	3.60	3.48	3.50	3.56	3.53
students having academic					
difficulties.	3.13*	2.88*	2.93	3.06	3.00
There is adequate support for				2.2.2	
students having social/emotional					
difficulties.	3.02	3.01	2.75	2.80	3.01*
I know where to find social and					
personal support within the					
graduate community if I need it.	2.81	2.97	2.80	2.86	2.84
My support network includes					
friends and/or faculty members	v-				
from Virginia Tech.	3.35*	3.26	3.15*	3.21	3.30
There are sufficient opportunities					
for socialization within the graduate	2.00	2.02	2.04	2.04	2.06
community.	3.00	3.02	2.91	3.01	2.96
CAMPUS RESOURCES <sup>a</sup>					
I am usually aware of activities that					
are geared towards the graduate student population at Virginia Tech.	3.15	3.18	3.03	3.11	3.13
student population at virginia recit.	3.13	3.10	3.03	3.11	3.13

The presence of the Graduate Life Center (GLC) in Blacksburg has helped me feel more connected to the graduate community at Virginia Tech.	2.69	2.83	2.62	3.02	2.57***
The GLC provides programs and					
services that are relevant to my					
particular needs and interests.	2.80	2.72	2.69	3.01	2.64***
I am comfortable in the					
environment the GLC provides for					
graduate students.	3.17	3.12	3.03	3.33	3.03***
GLC staff members are					
approachable and able to help me					
when I have questions.	3.46	3.4	3.31	3.56	3.32***

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>: Respondents were asked to rate their agreeableness with the listed questions. Values ranged from 1=Strongly Disagree to 4= Strongly Agree.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> *p* < 0.001, \*\* *p* < 0.01, \* *p* < 0.05

Table 7. Campus Support System Regression Results (N=230)

	Model	1	_	Model	2	_	Model	3	
	Coefficient	S.E.		Coefficient	S.E.		Coefficient	S.E.	
Male	0.076	0.126		0.140	0.133		0.071	0.128	
Non-white	-0.467	0.181	*	-0.393	0.182	*	-0.392	0.174	*
International	-0.397	0.139	**	-0.334	0.139	*	-0.390	0.134	**
(Reference Group: Wh	ite Domestic)								
Age	-0.102	0.050	*	-0.104	0.049	*	-0.102	0.047	*
Married	0.046	0.150		0.128	0.152		0.167	0.146	
Ph.D	-0.057	0.130		-0.043	0.137		-0.030	0.131	
Full-time				-0.048	0.315		0.140	0.305	
Engineering				-0.045	0.135		-0.050	0.129	
Living on Campus				-0.246	0.256		-0.234	0.246	
GA/Tuition Waiver				-0.181	0.170		-0.094	0.164	
Feeling Stress							-0.324	0.073	***
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>		0.046			0.037			0.114	

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> *p* < 0.001; \*\**p* < 0.01; \**p* < 0.05

Table 8. Campus Resources Regression Results (N=230)

	Model	<u>1</u>	_	Model	2	_	Model	<u>3</u>	
	Coefficient	S.E.		Coefficient	S.E.		Coefficient	S.E.	
Male	-0.077	0.127		-0.179	0.145		-0.203	0.146	
Non-white	0.308	0.181		0.14	0.198		0.140	0.198	
International	0.437	0.140	**	0.467	0.152	**	0.447	0.152	**
(Reference Group: Whi	ite Domestic)								
Age	0.016	0.050		0.027	0.053		0.028	0.053	
Married	-0.123	0.151		-0.020	0.166		-0.006	0.166	
Ph.D	-0.002	0.131		0.017	0.149		0.021	0.149	
Full-time				-0.293	0.344		-0.227	0.347	
Engineering				0.100	0.147		0.098	0.147	
Living on Campus				0.635	0.280	*	0.639	0.279	*
GA/Tuition Waiver				0.084	0.186		0.114	0.187	
Feeling Stress							-0.114	0.082	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>		0.023			0.028			0.032	

<sup>\*\*</sup>*p* < 0.01; \**p* < 0.05

**Table 9. Differences on Perceptions of Campus Safety** by Demographic Factors

			Authority	
	Feeling of		Responses to	
	Safeness <sup>a</sup>	N	Safety <sup>a</sup>	Ν
GENDER				
Women	-0.389***	190	0.016	190
Men	0.297	125	0.002	125
RACE/ETHNICITY				
White	0.097	146	-0.011	146
Non-white	-0.144	37	-0.189	37
CITIZENSHIP				
Non-				
International	0.044	201	-0.077*	201
International	-0.063	119	0.159	119
AGE				
18-26 yrs	0.042	165	0.104	165
27-30 yrs	-0.038	<i>78</i>	-0.016	<i>78</i>
31 yrs and older	-0.035	77	-0.161	<i>77</i>
RELATIONSHIP STATUS				
Uncoupled	0.012	158	0.012	158
Committed R.	0.134	69	0.134	69
Married	-0.022	76	-0.062	76
DEGREE PROGRAM				
Ph.D	-0.061	184	-0.113**	184
Non-Ph.D	0.146	131	0.185	131

a: standardized scores, values ranged from -1 to 1. \*\*\* p < 0.001, \*\* p < 0.01

Table 10. Perceptions of Campus Safety in Individual Questions by Age, by Citizenship and by Degree Program

				Non-		
	Women	Men	International	International	Ph.D	Non-Ph.D
FEELING OF SAFENESS <sup>a</sup>						
Walking alone on campus after dark	3.47	4.25***	3.92	3.84	3.81	4.00*
Waiting alone on campus for public						
transportation after dark	3.44	4.30***	3.98	3.87	3.87	4.02
Walking alone in parking						
lots/parking garages on campus						
after dark	3.10	4.02***	3.60	3.57	3.55	3.68
Working in the library/lab/GLC late						
at night	3.97	4.53***	4.35	4.25	4.24	4.38
In the Blacksburg community and						
area surrounding campus during the						
day	4.47	4.58	4.39	4.58**	4.48	4.60
In the Blacksburg community and						
the area surrounding campus at						
night	3.52	4.01***	3.55	3.86**	3.71	3.89*
On campus overall	4.03	4.39***	4.13	4.24	4.16	4.31*
AUTHORITY RESPONSES TO SAFETY ISSUES						
Campus security/campus police are						
responsive to campus safety issues.	3.65	3.63	3.71	3.61	3.58	3.73**
Campus administrators and staff						
take seriously the security policies						
on campus.	3.58	3.65	3.72	3.56**	3.59	3.64

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>: Values ranged from 1= Not at all safe to 5 = Extremely safe.

b: Values Ranged from 1=Strongly Disagree to 4= Strongly Agree.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> p < 0.001, \*\* p < 0.01, \* p < 0.05

Table 11. Feeling of Safeness Regression Results (N=267)

	Model	<u>1</u>	_	Model	2	_	Model	3	
	Coefficient	S.E.		Coefficient	S.E.		Coefficient	S.E.	
Male	0.719	0.115	***	0.759	0.125	***	0.766	0.125	***
Non-white	-0.003	0.177		-0.195	0.189		-0.207	0.190	
International	-0.228	0.123		-0.261	0.129	*	-0.251	0.130	
(Reference Group: Whi	ite Domestic)								
Age	-0.042	0.046		-0.064	0.05		-0.066	0.051	
Married	0.031	0.140		0.109	0.146		0.111	0.146	
Ph.D	-0.157	0.121		-0.105	0.131		-0.104	0.131	
Full-time				-0.049	0.241		-0.063	0.243	
Engineering				0.065	0.122		0.064	0.122	
Living on Campus				-0.168	0.258		-0.167	0.259	
GA/Tuition Waiver				-0.029	0.154		-0.038	0.155	
Feeling Stress							0.047	0.072	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>		0.114			0.125			0.123	

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>p < 0.001; \*p < 0.05

Table 12. Authorities Responses to Safety Issues Regression Results (*N*=267)

(10-207)									
	Mod			Mode			Model 3		
	Coefficient	S.E.		Coefficient	S.E.		Coefficient	S.E.	
Male	-0.054	0.117		-0.218	0.130		-0.242	0.129	
Non-white	-0.247	0.180		-0.213	0.197		-0.175	0.196	
International	0.283	0.125	*	0.312	0.134	*	0.280	0.134	*
(Reference Group: Whi	ite Domestic)								
Age	-0.057	0.047		-0.058	0.052		-0.05	0.052	
Married	0.048	0.142		0.164	0.152		0.155	0.151	
Ph.D	-0.315	0.123	*	-0.275	0.136	*	-0.278	0.135	*
Full-time				0.096	0.251		0.145	0.250	
Engineering				0.149	0.127		0.154	0.126	
Living on Campus				0.453	0.269		0.452	0.267	
GA/Tuition Waiver				-0.100	0.160		-0.070	0.160	
Feeling Stress							-0.162	0.074	*
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>		0.035			0.043			0.057	

<sup>\*</sup>p < 0.05

Table 13. Differences on Perceptions of Faculty Advising by Demographic Factors

- 433333			
		Faculty Advising <sup>a</sup>	Ν
GENDER		Advising	/ V
GENDEN	Maman	0.022	222
	Women	-0.032	222
	Men	0.033	244
RACE/ETHI	VICITY		
	White	0.068	<i>250</i>
	Non-white	-0.091	54
CITIZENSH	IP .		
	Non-		
	International	-0.025	336
	International	0.086	137
AGE			
	18-26 yrs	0.068	217
	27-30 yrs	-0.033	123
	31 yrs and older	-0.054	133
RELATIONS	SHIP STATUS		
	Uncoupled	0.085	200
	Committed R.	-0.083	104
	Married	0.003	142
DEGREE PR	ROGRAM		
	Ph.D	0.016	301
	Non-Ph.D	-0.023	196

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>: standardized scores, values ranged from -1 to 1.

Table 14. Differences on Perceptions of Faculty Advising in Individual Questions by Age, by Citizenship Status, and by Degree Program<sup>a</sup>

	18-26 yrs	27-30 yrs	31 yrs and older	International	Non- International	Ph.D	Non-Ph.D
	<b>,</b> -	/					
My faculty/advisor respond(s) to my e-mail, calls, or voicemails in							
a prompt manner.	3.51	3.35	3.42	3.51	3.42	3.41	3.50
I am satisfied with the quality of advising I have received from my							
department.	3.2	3.02	3.13	3.26	3.09*	3.12	3.15
Department faculty/staff members (other than my advisor) respond to my e-mails, calls, or voicemails in a prompt							
nanner.	3.37	3.28	3.36	3.36	3.34	3.32	3.39
There are adequate opportunities for me to interact with other university faculty							
outside of my department. get support from my aculty/advisor to pursue	2.58	2.63	2.56	2.63	2.57	2.60	2.59
personal research interests.  My department faculty encourages me to produce publications and present	3.16	3.14	3.13	3.19	3.13	3.18	3.07
esearch.	3.39	3.48*	3.23*	3.37	3.37	3.43	3.25*

My department has provided me opportunities to serve the department or university in various capacities outside of							
teaching or research.	2.88	2.88	2.77	2.87	2.84	2.86	2.82
My department faculty/advisor							
provides clear expectations.	3.08	2.98	3.04	3.13	3.01	3.04	3.04
I feel comfortable sharing my							
professional goals with my							
advisor.	3.39	3.28	3.34	3.33	3.35	3.33	3.36

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>: Respondents were asked to rate their agreeableness with the listed questions. Values ranged from 1=Strongly Disagree to 4= Strongly Agree.

<sup>\*</sup> *p* < 0.05.

Table 15. Faculty Member and Advising Regression Results (N=381)

	Model	1	Model	2	Model	3
	Coefficient	S.E.	Coefficient	S.E.	Coefficient	S.E.
Male	-0.011	0.098	-0.058	0.108	-0.140	0.102
Non-white	-0.124	0.152	-0.109	0.162	-0.033	0.152
International	-0.014	0.111	0.066	0.119	-0.016	0.112
(Reference Group: Wi	hite Domestic)					
Age	-0.002	0.037	-0.009	0.043	-0.020	0.040
Married	-0.034	0.114	0.013	0.119	0.032	0.112
Ph.D	0.046	0.107	0.067	0.117	0.037	0.109
Full-time			0.000	0.229	0.109	0.215
Engineering			-0.120	0.110	-0.118	0.103
Living on Campus			0.236	0.271	0.196	0.254
GA/Tuition Waiver			-0.043	0.15	0.065	0.141
Feeling Stress					-0.422	0.058 ***
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>		0.000		0.000		0.108

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>p < 0.001

Table 16. Differences on Perceptions of Academic Department Climate by Demographic Factors

	Department Climate <sup>a</sup>	N
GENDER		
Women	-0.070	237
Men	0.077	<i>265</i>
RACE/ETHNICITY		
White	0.102	274
Non-white	-0.017	61
CITIZENSHIP		
Domestic	0.012	366
International	-0.011	142
AGE		
18-26 yrs	0.141*	238
27-30 yrs	-0.101	125
31 yrs and		
older	-0.123*	145
RELATIONSHIP STATUS		
Uncoupled	0.076	219
Committed R.	-0.017	105
Married	-0.053	154
DEGREE PROGRAM		
Ph.D	-0.097**	306
Non-Ph.D	0.170	196

<sup>\*</sup> *p* < 0.05

Table 17. Differences on Perceptions of Academic Department Climate in Individual Questions by Age and by Program Type

			31 yrs and		
	18-26 yrs	27-30 yrs	older	Ph.D	Non-Ph.D
Department facilities and resources available to me are sufficient to allow me					
to produce quality work.	3.34*	3.17	3.11*	3.20	3.30
I feel I am treated fairly in my department.	3.46	3.28	3.41	3.33	3.51*
My department provides a supportive					
learning environment.	3.43	3.26	3.26	3.24	3.49***
My department is free from					
discrimination.	3.44	3.31	3.29	3.29	3.49**
My department encourages a					
collaborative environment among					
students.	3.37** *	3.11*	3.10**	3.12	3.41***
My department encourages a					
collaborative environment with faculty.	3.25**	3.11	2.95**	3.06	3.23*
I am as socially and professionally					
integrated into my department/program	2.00	2.00	0 ==	2.05	2.00
as I would like to be.	2.98	2.86	2.75	2.85	2.93
_	2.96	2.85	2.88	2.86	2.99
My department supports my personal commitments outside of graduate school (family, health, social, etc.).	2.96	2.85	2.88	2.86	2.99

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>: Respondents were asked to rate their agreeableness with the listed questions. Values ranged from 1=Strongly Disagree to 4= Strongly Agree.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> p < 0.001, \*\* p < 0.01, \* p < 0.05.

Table 18. Department/Program Climate Regression Results (N=416)

	Model 1			Model	2	Model 3		
	Coefficient	S.E.		Coefficient	S.E.	Coefficient	S.E.	
Male	0.135	0.093		0.156	0.100	0.076	0.094	
Non-white	-0.098	0.141		-0.050	0.150	0.013	0.140	
International	-0.115	0.107		-0.019	0.112	-0.125	0.105	
(Reference Group: Whi	ite Domestic)							
Age	-0.025	0.034		-0.053	0.038	-0.053	0.035	
Married	-0.009	0.107		0.112	0.111	0.107	0.103	
Ph.D	-0.214	0.100	*	-0.193	0.108	-0.190	0.100	
Full-time				-0.086	0.194	0.129	0.183	
Engineering				-0.147	0.103	-0.145	0.096	
Living on Campus				0.034	0.244	0.031	0.227	
GA/Tuition Waiver				-0.027	0.128	0.102	0.121	
Feeling Stress						-0.431	0.054	***
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>		0.012			0.007		0.138	

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>p < 0.001; \*p < 0.05

**Table 19. Differences on Perceptions of Financial Support by Demographic Factors** 

	11 /	<u> </u>	
		Financial Support <sup>a</sup>	N
GENDER			
	Women	-0.035	219
	Men	0.049	232
RACE/ETI	HNICITY		
	White	0.008	242
	Non-white	-0.092	54
CITIZENSI	HIP		
	Non-		
	International	-0.065*	326
	International	0.182	131
AGE			
	18-26 yrs	0.084	220
	27-30 yrs	0.041	108
	31 yrs and older	-0.156	129
RELATION	NSHIP STATUS		
	Uncoupled	0.080	201
	Committed R.	0.032	93
	Married	-0.023	133
DEGREE F	PROGRAM		
	Ph.D	0.023	281
	Non-Ph.D	-0.016	170
2			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>: standardized scores, values ranged from -1 to 1.

<sup>\*</sup> *p* < 0.05

Table 20. Differences on Perceptions of Financial Support in Individual Questions by Citizenship Status<sup>a</sup>

	International	Non- International
I feel Virginia Tech provides		
adequate information about		
financial support.	3.04	2.88*
I am comfortable approaching my		
department about financial		
support.	3.09	2.78**
I feel my department assists me in		
finding financial support.	3.00	2.80*
I worry about my financial situation		
while in graduate school.	2.83	3.00
I am satisfied with the amount of		
financial support I receive.	2.72	2.68

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>: Respondents were asked to rate their agreeableness with the listed questions. Values ranged from 1=Strongly Disagree to 4= Strongly Agree.

<sup>\*\*</sup> *p* < 0.01, \* *p* < 0.05.

Table 21. Financial Support Regression Results (N=368)

	Model 1		Model	2	Model	3
	Coefficient	S.E.	Coefficient	<u>-</u> S.E.	Coefficient	<u>s</u> S.E.
Mala		T			1	
Male	0.009	0.100	0.021	0.108	-0.024	0.108
Non-white	-0.060	0.153	-0.075	0.160	-0.042	0.158
International	0.174	0.113	0.164	0.119	0.097	0.118
(Reference Group: White Dom	estic)					
Age	-0.027	0.037	-0.004	0.039	0.001	0.039
Married	-0.064	0.115	0.026	0.120	0.036	0.118
Ph.D	0.048	0.108	-0.154	0.115	-0.163	0.113
Full-time			-0.145	0.219	-0.065	0.217
Engineering			0.144	0.111	0.152	0.109
Living on Campus			0.024	0.265	0.007	0.261
GA/Tuition Waiver			0.687	0.142 ***	0.724	0.141 ***
Feeling Stress					-0.215	0.063 **
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>		0.000		0.054		0.082

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>p < 0.001; \*\*p < 0.01

**Table 22. Differences on Perceptions of Campus Diversity by Demographic Factors** 

			Diversity and	
	Diversity	Ν	Me	Ν
GENDER				
Women	-0.021	255	0.045	<i>255</i>
Men	0.045	285	-0.049	285
RACE/ETHNICITY				
White	0.004	296	-0.449***	296
Non-white	-0.219	64	0.658	64
CITIZENSHIP				
Non-				
International	-0.067*	394	-0.257***	394
International	0.171	154	0.171	154
AGE				
18-26 yrs	0.151**	257	-0.100	257
27-30 yrs	-0.051	133	0.066	133
31 yrs and older	-0.204**	158	-0.204	158
RELATIONSHIP STATUS				
Uncoupled	0.105	235	0.022	235
Committed R.	-0.038	115	-0.140	115
Married	-0.022	163	0.051	163
DEGREE PROGRAM				
Ph.D	-0.040	326	0.072*	326
Non-Ph.D	0.097	214	-0.123	214

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>: standardized scores, values ranged from -1 to 1.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> *p* < 0.001, \*\* *p* < 0.01, \* *p* < 0.05

Table 23. Differences on Perceptions of Campus Diversity in Individual Questions by Race/Ethnicity, by Age, by Citizenship Status and by Degree Program

			18-26	26-30	31 yrs and		Non-		
	White	Non-white	yrs	yrs	older	International	International	Ph.D	Non-Ph.D
CAMPUS DIVERSITY <sup>a</sup>			_						
Virginia Tech is diverse.	3.13	2.80*	3.31*	3.11	3.09*	3.53	3.07***	3.19	3.22
Virginia Tech is supportive of									
people with physical				4					
disabilities.	3.06	3.22	3.23	3.29*	3.08*	3.52	3.07***	3.21	3.19
Virginia Tech is supportive of									
students with non-physical									
disabilities (i.e., learning									
disabilities).	3.04	3.28*	3.19	3.16	3.02	3.33	3.05***	3.13	3.16
Virginia Tech is supportive of									
people who identify as lesbian,			*						
gay, bisexual, or queer.	3.18	3.17	3.26*	3.16	3.07*	3.23	3.16	3.15	3.25
Virginia Tech is supportive of									
people who identify as							1		
transgender.	3.00	3.02*	3.13*	3.02	2.89*	3.16	2.99*	3.03	3.08
Virginia Tech is supportive of									
people with diverse ethnic						_	•		
backgrounds.	3.33	3.03	3.42*	3.24	3.22*	3.45	3.27*	3.28	3.39
Virginia Tech is supportive of					_				
international students.	3.52	3.39	3.57*	3.39*	3.39*	3.47	3.48	3.43	3.57*
IVERSITY AND ME <sup>a</sup>									
I personally add to the diversity									
of my campus.	2.71	3.59***	3.01	3.16	3.16	3.6	2.89***	3.15	3.00

I take advantage of the										
opportunities provided by										
Virginia Tech to learn about										
diversity-related issues.	2.28	2.81***	2.50	2.50	2.60	2.95	2.37***	2.56	2.49	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>: Respondents were asked to rate their agreeableness with the listed questions. Values ranged from 1=Strongly Disagree to 4= Strongly Agree. \*\*\* p < 0.001, \*\* p < 0.05

Table 24. Campus Diversity Regression Results (*N=444*)

	Model 1			Model 2			Model 3		
	Coefficient	S.E.		Coefficient	S.E.		Coefficient	S.E.	
Male	-0.048	0.09		-0.069	0.097		-0.087	0.098	
Non-white	-0.279	0.137	*	-0.320	0.145	*	-0.31	0.145	*
International	0.231	0.103	*	0.251	0.109	*	0.232	0.110	*
(Reference Group: White D	omestic)								
Age	-0.071	0.032	*	-0.099	0.035	**	-0.099	0.035	**
Married	0.022	0.103		0.163	0.107		0.166	0.107	
Ph.D	-0.192	0.096	*	-0.156	0.103		-0.158	0.103	
Full-time				-0.054	0.176		-0.014	0.177	
Engineering				0.121	0.100		0.123	0.100	
Living on Campus				-0.191	0.237		-0.196	0.237	
GA/Tuition Waiver				-0.073	0.122		-0.050	0.123	
Feeling Stress							-0.087	0.056	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>		0.033			0.043			0.047	

<sup>\*\*</sup>p < 0.01; \*p < 0.05; #p < 0.1

Table 25. Campus Diversity and Me Regression Results (N=444)

	Model	Model 1		Model 2			Model 3		
	Coefficient	S.E.		Coefficient	S.E.		Coefficient	S.E.	
Male	-0.195	0.080	*	-0.198	0.088	*	-0.212	0.089	*
Non-white	0.963	0.121	***	0.936	0.131	***	0.944	0.131	***
International	1.166	0.092	***	1.112	0.099	***	1.097	0.099	***
(Reference Group: White	Domestic)								
Age	0.033	0.029		0.029	0.032		0.029	0.032	
Married	0.103	0.091		0.136	0.097		0.139	0.097	
Ph.D	-0.013	0.085		0.052	0.093		0.051	0.093	
Full-time				0.037	0.159		0.070	0.161	
Engineering				-0.011	0.091		-0.009	0.091	
Living on Campus				0.522	0.215	*	0.518	0.214	*
GA/Tuition Waiver				0.005	0.111		0.023	0.112	
Feeling Stress							-0.07	0.051	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>		0.283			0.277			0.278	

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>p < 0.001;\*p < 0.05

Table 26. Differences on Perceptions of Discrimination by Demographic Factors

	Discrimination	Ν
GENDER		
Women	-0.040	255
Men	-0.049	285
RACE/ETHNICITY		
White	0.093	295
Non-white	-0.106	64
CITIZENSHIP		
Non-		
International	-0.002	393
International	-0.007	154
AGE		
18-26 yrs	0.053	257
27-30 yrs	-0.075	133
31 yrs and older	-0.034	157
RELATIONSHIP STATUS		
Uncoupled	0.042	235
Committed R.	-0.005	114
Married	0.048	163
DEGREE PROGRAM		
Ph.D	-0.030	<i>325</i>
Non-Ph.D	0.050	214
_		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>: standardized scores, values ranged from -1 to 1.

Table 27. Differences on Perceptions of Discrimination in Individual Questions by Race/Ethnicity

	White	Non- white
I feel I am treated fairly as a		
student on this campus.	3.61	3.44*
I would feel comfortable reporting		
an act of discrimination or		
harassment that I had witnessed.	3.39	3.28
I would feel comfortable reporting		
an act of discrimination or		
harassment that I had experienced.	3.36	3.25

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>: Respondents were asked to rate their agreeableness with the listed questions. Values ranged from 1=Strongly Disagree to 4= Strongly Agree.

<sup>\*</sup> *p* < 0.05

Table 28. Discrimination Regression Results (*N=443*)

	Model	Model 1		2	Model 3		
	Coefficient	S.E.	Coefficient	S.E.	Coefficient	S.E.	
Male	0.081	0.090	0.055	0.097	0.002	0.095	
Non-white	-0.237	0.137	-0.25	0.144	-0.219	0.141	
International	-0.083	0.104	0.034	0.109	-0.024	0.107	
(Reference Group: Whit	te Domestic)						
Age	0.000	0.032	-0.029	0.035	-0.029	0.034	
Married	0.028	0.104	0.133	0.107	0.141	0.104	
Ph.D	-0.097	0.096	-0.018	0.103	-0.022	0.100	
Full-time			-0.047	0.175	0.074	0.172	
Engineering			-0.103	0.100	-0.097	0.097	
Living on Campus			0.055	0.236	0.04	0.230	
GA/Tuition Waiver			-0.138	0.122	-0.069	0.120	
Feeling Stress					-0.26	0.054	***
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>		0.000		0.000		0.045	

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>p < 0.001